

Item No 05

17/00220/LBC

**The Old Rectory
Ampney Crucis
Cirencester
Gloucestershire
GL7 5RY**

Item No 05

**Glazed link extension at The Old Rectory Ampney Crucis Cirencester
Gloucestershire GL7 5RY**

Listed Building Consent : 17/00220/LBC	
Applicant:	Mr Stuart McIntyre
Agent:	Mdarchitecture Limited
Case Officer:	Alison Williams
Ward Member(s):	Councillor David Fowles
Committee Date:	12th July 2017
RECOMMENDATION:	REFUSE

Main Issues:

(a) Impact on the Listed Building

Reasons for Referral:

The application has been called into Planning and Licensing Committee by Council D Fowles for the following reason:

"I believe that the applicant's proposal to link the two buildings is both innovative and sensitive and, given the fact that Officers hold a contrary view, I request that the application(s) are referred to the committee for determination".

1. Site Description:

The Old Rectory is a Grade II Listed Building situated within the Ampney Crucis Conservation Area. The proposals seek to create a link between the house and an outbuilding to the rear that would also serve as a summer room. The outbuilding has already been converted for ancillary living accommodation.

The proposals have been subject to ongoing consultation with the Planning and Conservation teams.

2. Relevant Planning History:

15/05411/FUL - Internal refurbishment, external alterations - Permitted
15/05223/LBC - Internal refurbishment, external alterations - Permitted

3. Planning Policies:

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework

4. Observations of Consultees:

Conservation Officer - Objects to the proposals

5. View of Town/Parish Council:

No comments received.

6. Other Representations:

No comments received.

7. Applicant's Supporting Information:

Heritage statement

8. Officer's Assessment:**(a) Impact on the Listed Building**

The Old Rectory is a Grade II Listed Building. The Local Planning Authority is therefore statutorily required to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building, its setting, and any features of special architectural or historic interest it may possess, in accordance with Sections 16(2) and 66(1) of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

Section 12 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires that Local Planning Authorities should take account of the desirability of sustaining or enhancing the significance of heritage assets. Paragraph 132 states that when considering the impact of proposed works on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation. It also states that significance can be harmed through alteration of an asset or development within its setting, that assets are irreplaceable, and that any harm should require clear and convincing justification. Paragraph 134 states that where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the work, including securing its optimum viable use.

The plans have been amended during the consideration of the application, however Officers do not consider that the proposals overcome the concerns raised in relation to the harmful impact on the character, fabric and setting of the Listed Building.

The proposed link between the two buildings would also create the main hall and point of access, as well as a garden room. It would relocate the existing stone wall that connects the two buildings 3.5m further to the east. This wall would then be increased in height from 2.1m to 2.7m with a timber panelled door inserted, which would then allow entrance into the proposed link/summer room and would also serve as the main entrance to the property.

The extension would be formed from frameless fine line aluminium double glazed screens and sliding doors and glazed roof on the eastern elevation. The extension would extend 3.6m in width to the east.

The earliest part of the Old Rectory dates from the C17th, with C19th additions, and then the taller gabled rear wing added in the 1900s by architects Pilkington and Hall, and at this time the interior was also re-ordered. The north side of the house is the service end of the Old Rectory and the north elevation faces onto its historic service yard, enclosed and formed by the parallel outbuilding. This outbuilding has been converted into ancillary accommodation to the dwelling house in more recent years but retains its fundamental character as a physically and visually separate ancillary building of historic utilitarian function. The separate functional and physical relationship of the house and outbuilding are essential to their historic character and integrity as well as their contextual setting. The distinct yard area created between them for functional and architectural reasons historically continues to provide evidence of the relationship as to how the buildings were designed and operated in regard to each other and the yard in between them in terms of functional need and social expectations.

The boundary wall that currently divides the yard is a relatively modern addition and is positioned $\frac{3}{4}$ of the way along the outbuilding at a relatively low height, therefore although the yard size has diminished to some extent, this is relatively marginal and the low height of the wall, along with its

simplicity of form, maintains a clear sense of the separate historic and architectural character of the two buildings and the contribution the yard provides in this relationship

The proposal, through the relocation of the existing wall by 3.5m further to the west, aims to increase the size of the resultant yard, but would at the same time increase the height of the wall, rendering it more prominent in its uncharacteristic division of the yard space. Indeed the boundary wall would no longer be a simple boundary wall but the wall of a garden room, located within the service yard and physically linking the principal dwelling house to its ancillary outbuilding, which historically was characteristically separate both physically and functionally.

The proposed centralisation of the entrance door from the yard into the proposed stone wall of the link extension would, through its location and use of a solid planked door, give added prominence to the link as an entrance feature, rather than a boundary wall with an incidental gate access within it.

The provision of a linking garden room as proposed, although increasing the yard space slightly, would result in harm being caused to the individual legibility of the separate buildings, the architectural and operational relationship between the buildings historically, and their associated functional spaces, thereby harming the character, significance and setting of the principal and curtilage listed buildings.

In addition, the relocation of the wall to form the elevation of the garden room/link extension results in the physical alteration of historic fabric and features to the elevations of both the main house and the outbuilding. The Heritage Significance Addendum report submitted with the application provides no clear or convincing evidence that the openings proposed for alteration hold no significance and would not be harmed by the proposals. The current proposals would result in the loss of the door jamb, which appears to be original. In relation to the window and door arrangement to the main house, the existing door appears to be an alteration from a window, but the existing window appears from the photograph to be a historic and architectural part of this elevation. As such, it is considered that there is insufficient justification for the loss of historic fabric to outweigh the harm.


It is acknowledged that houses of this type and date often had conservatories attached to the main house historically, however this is not the case here and this may also be to do with the constraints and historic function of the buildings and yard behind. Any conservatory historically would not have been within the yard space and would have related in location, form and architecturally to the house and garden, with any physical attachment to an outbuilding being minimal and incidental. Indeed a garden room would have related to the garden and turn its back on the service and yard space. Positioning a garden room within the rear service yard and linking it to two buildings of deliberately designed differing functional and physical character is considered to be incongruous, harming the setting and significance of the Listed Buildings.

9. Conclusion:

As such, the proposals would result in less than substantial harm to the special character and significance of the Listed Buildings in relation to the loss of historic fabric, the negative impact of the historic legibility of the buildings, the relationship of the buildings and their historically functional spaces. The extension would also fail to preserve the character or appearance of the Ampney Crucis Conservation Area. The significance of the designated heritage assets would be diminished, and without public benefits in this case to outweigh that harm. The proposals are therefore contrary to Section 16(2) and 72 (1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Section 12 of the NPPF. As such, the application is recommended for refusal.

10. Reason for Refusal:

The proposals would result in less than substantial harm to the special character and significance of the Listed Buildings in relation to the loss of historic fabric, the negative impact of the historic legibility of the buildings, the relationship of the buildings and their historically functional spaces. The extension would also fail to preserve the character or appearance of the Ampney Crucis Conservation Area. The significance of the designated heritage assets would be diminished, and without public benefits in this case to outweigh that harm. The proposals are therefore contrary to Section 16(2) and 72 (1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Section 12 of the NPPF. As such, the application is recommended for refusal.



The Old Rectory Ampney Crucis

Organisation: Cotswold District Council
Department:

Date: 29/06/2017

Scale: 1:2500



COTSWOLD
DISTRICT COUNCIL



This drawing and the copyright in the drawings, of MJD Architecture Limited and is not to be disclosed or copied in any way to any third party without the written consent of MJD Architecture Limited.

The user of this drawing for the purposes stated in the agreement of the following agreement, shall not hold MJD liable if they do not agree with any of the following statements:

All drawings are based upon the information supplied by third parties and do not constitute any warranty or assurance of any kind. All drawings are subject to clarification by statutory service providers and confirmation of the local authorities.

Do not scale from the drawing, Use the dimensions in all cases. Check all measurements on site.



Resolve any discrepancies in writing to the first level before proceeding.

Notes:

General notes include contingency sums for the following in relation to the main house, services and garage:

- General repair works to roofs, masonry and windows
- Replacement for replacement of external doors and painting as required

All of the above to be reviewed and agreed on site with the architect




+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
+	+	+
C	02.03.17	Design changes to proposed site
B	01.03.17	Planning arrangements
A	17.01.17	Changes following discussion with Conservation Officer
Rev:	Draw:	Notes:

architecture^{HD}
 MJD Architecture Limited
 100, The Arcade, Gloucester, Gloucestershire, GL1 2PP
 t: 01452 542297
 e: admin@mjdararchitecture.co.uk


Project:
 Refurbishment & Extension Works
 The Old Rectory, Amney Cruch
 Gloucestershire, GL7 5RY

Drawing:
 Site & Roof Plan
 as Proposed


Job & Drawing Number: P169-1.1	Revision: C
Rev:	Rev Date
1:00 @ A1	11.11.16
	03.03.17




North Elevation (Annex & Garage)



West Elevation (Garage)



South Elevation / Section (Annex & Garage)



West Elevation



North Elevation / Section (Main House)



East Elevation

This drawing and the copyright in the drawings of architecture limited and is not to be published or re-used in any way by any third party without the written consent of architecture limited.

This use of the files by the recipient is as an agreement of the following documents. Do not use the files if you do not agree with any of the following provisions:

All drawings are based upon the information supplied by third parties and as such their accuracy, cover or completeness, all liabilities are expressed and implied, is disclaimed by any third party and the architect and architecture limited and its staff and its employees.

Do not scale from the drawings. Use figured dimensions in all cases. Check all measurements on site.

Report any discrepancies in writing to the architect before proceeding.

Notes:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Rev	Date	Notes


architecture^{HD}
Architecture Limited
Worship Street, The Old Rectory, Churchyard, Gloucester, GL1 5HY
t: 01452 861221
a: info@architecture.co.uk

Project:
Refurbishment & Extension Works
The Old Rectory, Amnony Cruds
Gloucestershire, GL7 6RY


Drawing:
Elevations
as Existing

Job & Drawing Number: P169-04


Scale: 1:100 @ A1 Previous Date: 18.10.15 Revision Date: Revision:



North Elevation (Annex & Garage)



West Elevation (Garage)



South Elevation / Section (Annex & Garage)

West Elevation



North Elevation / Section (Main House)



East Elevation

This drawing and the copyright in the drawings of MDA Architecture Limited and its staff is to be disclosed to or copied in any way by any third party without the written consent of MDA Architecture Limited.

The use of this drawing by the recipient here is an agreement of the following statements. Do not use this drawing if you do not agree with any of the following statements:

All drawings are based upon the information supplied by third parties and on such third party information cannot be guaranteed. All drawings are approximate and subject to confirmation by satisfactory survey or inspection and confirmation of the legal boundaries.

Do not scale from the drawing. Use figures and dimensions in all cases. Check all measurements on site.

Report any discrepancies in writing to the Architect before proceeding.

Notes:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

C	03.03.17	Agreement to be signed
B	17.01.17	Planning Amendment
A	06.02.16	Change following consultation with the conservation officer

Rev: Date: Issue:

architecture^{MD}
 MDA Architecture Limited
 10 Watlington House, The Watlington, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL51 2PF
 T: 01753 641 387
 E: info@mdaarchitecture.co.uk

Project:
 Refurbishment & Extension Works
 The Old Rectory, Amney Crucis
 Gloucestershire, GL7 6RY

Drawing:
 Elevations
 as Proposed

Job & Drawing Number: Revision:
P169-1.4 **C**

Scale: Print Date: Revision Date:
 1:100 @ A1 16.10.15 03.03.17